

**NORRIDGE POLICE DEPARTMENT
EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT INQUIRY/COMPLAINT**

DATE: 12/7/18 TIME RECEIVED: 0750 hrs

NAME OF COMPLAINANT: [REDACTED] TRANSLATED BY DAUGHTER [REDACTED]
(Print in "Anonymous" if name is refused)

ADDRESS OF COMPLAINANT: [REDACTED] UNIT [REDACTED] IL.
(Number, Street, City, State, Zip Code)

TELEPHONE #: (872) [REDACTED]
(Home, Work, Cell, E-Mail)

DATE OF INCIDENT: 11/27/18 TIME OF INCIDENT: 1315 hrs.

OFFICER INVOLVED: S. Deutscher STAR # 39

HOW WAS THE COMPLAINT RECEIVED:

☒ In Person ☐ Telephone ☐ Letter ☐ Third party ☐ Other (Explain)

CITIZEN COMPLAINT FORM COMPLETED: ☐ YES (Attached) ☒ NO (Why Not?)

PROCEDURAL COMPLAINT AGAINST BEING MADE

UNIT #1 IN ACCIDENT / SECOND PARTY INSURANCE INACTIVE
NOT FEELING THEY WERE INTERVIEWED ADEQUATELY.
After reviewing the initial complaint and allegation, (s) I believe the inquiry should be classified as:

☒ Informal Inquiry (No formal action or follow up necessary)

Supervisor Action Taken: INTERVIEW W/ R/O & CPL URBY - UNABLE TO DETERMINE UNIT #

SO UNIT 1 WAS VEHICLE MORE LIKELY TO BE STRIKING VEHICLE. (COVER)

☒ Administrative Review ☐ Formal Complaint of Misconduct (Follow up investigation should be initiated).

[Signature]
Supervisor's Signature

104
Star #

12/7/18
Date

12/7/18
Time

EXPLAINED THAT OFFICER WAS PRESENTED VALID INS. CARD BY BOTH DRIVERS. COMPLAINANT STATES THAT UNIT #2 INSURANCE WAS INVALID WHICH SHE FOUND OUT FROM INS. CO. COMPLAINANT ALSO PRESENTED INVALID INSURANCE CARD AT ACCIDENT WHICH WAS CORRECTED BY COMPLAINANT BRINGING IN VALID CARD PRIOR TO REPORT BEING APPROVED. I INFORMED COMPLAINANT THAT IT WAS NOT POLICY TO CONTACT INSURANCE COMPANIES WHEN PRESENTED A VALID INS. CARD. UPON INTERVIEW W/ R/O IT WAS LEARNED THAT SIGNALS WERE SNOW COVERED S/B AND UNABLE TO BE CLEARLY SEEN, THE ONLY INFO NEEDED FROM INTERVIEW W/ DRIVER OF UNIT #1 WAS WHETHER SHE HAD GREEN OR RED SIGNAL. UNIT 1 DRIVER STATED TO HAVE HAD GREEN SIGNAL AT WHICH TIME R/O WENT TO COMPLETE REPORT. I CONTACTED COMPLAINANT DAUGHTER VIA TK TO ADVISE THAT THERE WERE NO INDEPENDENT WITNESSES LISTED TO DETERMINE AT FAULT VEHICLE AND THE REASON WHY HER MOTHER WAS MADE AT FAULT. I ALSO ADVISED THE FACT THAT THERE WAS NO OTHER INFO. THAT NEEDED TO BE OBTAINED OTHER THAN THE CONDITION OF THE TRAFFIC SIGNAL. I FIND THAT THERE WERE NO ISSUES OF MISCONDUCT OR VIOLATION OF DEPT. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.

V. W. [Signature] 164